



Talbot County, Maryland



Easton, Maryland

MINUTES

February 24, 2009

Present – President Philip Carey Foster, Vice President Levin F. Harrison, IV, Dirck K. Bartlett, Thomas G. Duncan, Corey W. Pack, County Manager R. Andrew Hollis, Assistant County Manager James Urbanczyk, and County Attorney Michael Pullen.

- I. Agenda – Agenda of February 24, 2009 was approved upon motion by Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Duncan, with the Council voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan - Aye
Mr. Bartlett - Aye
Mr. Harrison – Aye

- II. Minutes – Minutes of February 10, 2009 were approved upon motion by Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Duncan, with the Council voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett - Aye
Mr. Harrison – Aye

- III. Disbursements – Disbursements of February 17, 2009, and February 24, 2009 were approved upon motion by Mr. Bartlett, seconded by Mr. Harrison, with the Council voting 5 - 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster - Aye
Mr. Pack - Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett - Aye
Mr. Harrison - Aye

- IV. Introduction of Numbered Resolution:

A RESOLUTION TO ADOPT RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEWS OF CIVIL PENALTIES PURSUANT TO CHAPTER 58, TALBOT COUNTY CODE was introduced by Mr. Bartlett, Mr. Duncan and Mr. Pack as Resolution No. 161. A public hearing was scheduled for Tuesday, March 24, 2009 at 2:15 p.m. in the Bradley Meeting Room, South Wing, Talbot County Courthouse, 11 North Washington St., Easton, Maryland 21601.

- V. Public Hearings:

CONSIDERATION OF A PROPOSAL TO REQUEST THAT THE STATE OF MARYLAND DEDICATE MD RT. 33 AS THE FREDERICK DOUGLASS MEMORIAL HIGHWAY – Mr. Foster stated that Mr. George Seymour of St. Michaels had previously requested Council consideration to rename or rededicate MD Rt. 33, St. Michaels Road, in honor of the memory of Frederick Douglass. Mr. Foster continued that Mr. Seymour had been directed to present his request to the Maryland State Highway

Administration, where the request is still pending. He further advised that supporters of the request had agreed that dedication is the more appropriate action due to costs to residents and businesses associated with renaming, explaining that the request before the Council is whether to endorse the dedication of the road in honor of Mr. Douglass. Dr. Frank Downing, on behalf of George Seymour, provided Council with a brief outline of the life and historical significance of Frederick Douglass to Talbot County. The public was afforded an opportunity to comment on the proposal and a letter from Capt. John Bridges was read into the record by the Clerk. Council discussion ensued. Upon motion by Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Pack, the Council voted to not write a letter to the State Highway Administration in support of dedication of the road, thus not taking a position on the matter by voting 3 -2 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan – Nay
Mr. Bartlett – Nay
Mr. Harrison - Nay

FUTURE PLANS FOR PROPOSED BRIDGE REPLACEMENT OF THE LEEDS CREEK BRIDGE LOCATED NEAR THE VILLAGES OF TUNIS MILLS AND COPPERVILLE – Assistant County Engineer, Jesse Fearins, and John Keenan and Tim Warner, engineers with consultant, Wallace, Montgomery & Associates, briefed the Council as to the history of the Leeds Creek Bridge between Copperville and Tunis Mills, Maryland, and advised the Council as to the current condition of the decking, superstructure and substructure of the current bridge which is approximately 50 years old. Mr. Fearins advised that \$1.8 million was allocated by the Council in FY2008 for replacement of the current stringer bridge which shows deterioration and over its lifespan has had increasing weight restrictions imposed by the state. The public was afforded an opportunity to comment on three preliminary design proposals provided by the engineering consultants. A public meeting had previously been held in Tunis Mills on November 12, 2008 to gather the public's input on the proposed project. Council is anticipated to vote on one of the preliminary design proposals at its Tuesday, March 10, 2009 meeting.

A continuation of the public hearing was held on Bill No. 1162, A BILL TO (1) REPEAL TALBOT COUNTY CODE CHAPTER 168, "SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS" IN ITS ENTIRETY; (2) REPEAL TALBOT COUNTY CODE CHAPTER 190, "ZONING" IN ITS ENTIRETY; (3) ENACT AN ENTIRE NEW CHAPTER 190 TALBOT COUNTY CODE, ENTITLED "ZONING, SUBDIVISION AND LAND DEVELOPMENT"; AND, (4) AMEND CERTAIN SECTIONS OF CHAPTERS 20, 24 AND 73 TO IMPLEMENT ZONING CONTROLS AND REGULATIONS CONSISTENT WITH AND PURSUANT TO ADOPTION OF THE 2005 TALBOT COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN, *and amendments*. The public was afforded an opportunity to comment on the legislation and amendments. The public hearing was then temporarily postponed until the conclusion of the Work Session for an update on the Cell Tower Study.

The meeting recessed at 4:13 p.m.

- VI. Work Session for Update on Cell Tower Study – Lee Afflerbach, Columbia Telecommunications Corporation (CTC); Stacey Dahlstrom, Planning Officer; Mary Kay Verdery, Assistant Planning Officer; Members, Talbot County Planning Commission; Members, Talbot County Board of Appeals – Mr. Afflerbach briefed the Council on his preliminary findings, outlined the methodology used by his company for determining placement of any additional proposed cell towers within Talbot County, provided an overview of the current coverage area, and made recommendations for putting processes in place for the location of any future cell towers with Talbot County. Council discussion ensued. Ms. Dahlstrom stated that upon completion of a final report by CTC, same will be presented to the Council for their approval and an appropriate ordinance will be drafted.

The meeting reconvened at 5:30 p.m.

VII. Public Hearing continued:

Following the Work Session, the public hearing on Bill No. 1162 was continued. Mr. Foster requested that County Attorney Michael Pullen give a brief explanation of each of the 14 proposed amendments recommended by the Talbot County Planning Commission. Following the explanation of each proposed amendment, Council members were provided an opportunity to request that any particular amendment be separated out for individual consideration. Council members requested that Amendment No. 3, 5, 9, and 14 be separated out. Upon motion by Mr. Duncan, seconded by Mr. Pack, Council approved the adoption of the amendments recommended by the Planning Commission, excluding Amendment Nos. 3,5,9, and 14, by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

- Mr. Foster – Aye
- Mr. Pack – Aye
- Mr. Duncan – Aye
- Mr. Bartlett – Aye
- Mr. Harrison – Aye

Mr. Foster requested that the vote for adoption of the amendments be withdrawn as Council had not yet voted on the substantiveness of the amendments. Council voted that the amendments were not substantive by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

- Mr. Foster – Aye
- Mr. Pack – Aye
- Mr. Duncan – Aye
- Mr. Bartlett – Aye
- Mr. Harrison – Aye

Council approved the adoption of the amendments recommended by the Planning Commission, excluding Amendment Nos. 3,5, 9, and 14 by voting 5- 0 as follows:

- Mr. Foster – Aye
- Mr. Pack – Aye
- Mr. Duncan – Aye
- Mr. Bartlett – Aye
- Mr. Harrison – Aye

Amendment Nos. 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, and 13, as recommended by the Planning Commission, will be incorporated into Bill No. 1162.

Amendment #14, Page 242, Clarification.

§190-208. Definitions

* * *

CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION (CA) – ~~The Chesapeake Bay~~ Critical Area Commission for the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays.

* * *

REMAINING LAND – A specifically delineated area of land on a subdivision plat that is part of the parcel being subdivided, requiring a minimum allocation of one development right, but is not included in the

subdivision. Remaining land is not an approved lot or parcel within the subdivision and may be used for future subdivision if able to meet applicable standards at the time of subdivision.

RESERVATION OF DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS AGREEMENT – A grant to the County, recorded among the land records by valid instrument approved by the County, restricting land development within a defined area and for a specified period of time. Reservation of development right lands require a minimum allocation of one development right.

RESERVED LAND – Permanently protected land, identified in a Reserved Land Agreement recorded among the land records, that may not be developed for residential, commercial or industrial use except as defined in §190-198.D and E. Reserved land parcels do not require allocation of a development right.

* * *

STREAM, TRIBUTARY (CA) – A perennial or intermittent stream within the Critical Area. that has been identified by site inspection or in accordance with procedures approved by the Critical Area Commission.

was brought forward for Council discussion and consideration. Mr. Bartlett made a motion to adopt Amendment No. 14. Council voted that the amendment was not substantive by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

- Mr. Foster – Aye
- Mr. Pack – Aye
- Mr. Duncan – Aye
- Mr. Bartlett – Aye
- Mr. Harrison – Aye

Amendment No. 14 was brought forward for vote. The Council approved the adoption of Amendment 14 by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

- Mr. Foster – Aye
- Mr. Pack – Aye
- Mr. Duncan – Aye
- Mr. Bartlett – Aye
- Mr. Harrison – Aye

Amendment No. 14 will be incorporated into Bill No. 1162.

Following the adoption of Amendment 14, Mr. Foster requested that Amendments 3 and 5 be set aside until the Tuesday, March 10, 2009 Council meeting. He also requested that Anne Morse, Environmental Health Officer, plan to attend the Wednesday, March 4, 2009 Planning Commission meeting and the Council meeting of Tuesday, March 10, 2009 in order to provide additional information to the Council as to how the proposed amendments would impact current procedures.

Amendment #9, Page 155, Amended to place reasonable cap on lot coverage.

190-136. Lot Coverage

* * *

- C. If an individual lot one-acre or less in size is part of a subdivision approved after December 1, 1985:
 - (1) The total lot coverage for the entire subdivision may not exceed 15 percent
 - (2) However, the lot coverage of an individual lot may not exceed ~~15~~40 percent

was brought forward for Council discussion and consideration. Council voted that the amendment was not substantive by voting 4 – 1 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett – Nay
Mr. Harrison – Aye

Amendment No. 9 was brought forward for vote. The Council approved Amendment No. 9 by voting 3 – 2 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Nay
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett – Nay
Mr. Harrison - Aye

Amendment No. 9 will be incorporated into Bill No. 1162.

Amendment 1: *Add § 190-118 C, to establish size limits for buildings accessory to residential uses as follows: (1) on lots less than 5 acres, all non-residential accessory buildings would be limited to a cumulative total of 1,200 square feet of gross floor area, or 2½ percent of lot size, whichever is greater; (2) on all lots, nonresidential accessory buildings totaling 3,000 square feet or more, individually or cumulatively, would be required to be screened if they were located within 200-feet of the property line,* as introduced by Mr. Bartlett, was brought forward for Council discussion and consideration. Mr. Bartlett offered an amendment to Amendment 1 which would increase the cumulative total of all non-residential accessory buildings from 1,200 to 1,800 square feet. The Council approved the amendment to Amendment 1 by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett – Aye
Mr. Harrison – Aye

Prior to the vote on Amendment 1, as amended, the public was afforded an opportunity to comment on the Amendment. Amendment 1, as amended, was brought forward for vote. The Council did not approve Amendment 1, as amended, by voting 1 – 4 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Nay
Mr. Pack – Nay
Mr. Duncan – Nay
Mr. Bartlett – Aye
Mr. Harrison – Nay

Amendment 2: *Add §190-133 B, to provide that landowners shall establish and maintain a vegetated filter strip of not less than 25-feet along tidal shorelines, (striking “tributary streams”) of agricultural fields utilizing no-till farming practices. The filter strip shall be increased to 60- feet long tidal shorelines on those fields utilizing conventional tillage methods. All agricultural fields shall maintain a 25 foot vegetated filter strip along tributary streams. The vegetated filter strip shall be maintained until such time as the landowner is implementing, under an approved Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plan, a program of best management practices for the specific purposes of improving water quality and protecting plant and wildlife habitat; and provided that the portion of the Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plan being*

implemented achieves the water quality and habitat protection objectives of the vegetated filter strip, as introduced by Mr. Bartlett and Mr. Duncan, was brought forward for Council discussion and consideration. Mr. Bartlett offered an amendment to Amendment 2 which would add the definitions of “Conventional Tillage” and “Conservation Tillage” or “No Till” to Amendment 2. The Council approved the amendment to Amendment 2 by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack - Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett – Aye
Mr. Harrison - Aye

Upon request by Mr. Bartlett, and unanimous consent by the Council, the vote on Amendment 2, as amended, was scheduled for vote at the Tuesday, March 10, 2009 Council meeting.

An amendment to Talbot County Code § 190-75 (D)(2) to eliminate a change in the proposed law to decrease the width of a pier from six feet to five feet was introduced by Mr. Foster. The Council voted that the amendment was not substantive by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett – Aye
Mr. Harrison – Aye

The amendment was brought forward for vote. The Council approved the amendment by voting 3 – 2 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan – Nay
Mr. Bartlett – Nay
Mr. Harrison - Aye

An amendment proposed by Mr. Foster to change private piers from special exceptions to accessory uses and to change community piers from accessory uses to special exceptions was set over until the Council meeting of Tuesday, March 10, 2009.

The public hearing on Bill No. 1162, as amended, and any proposed amendments, will be continued on Tuesday, March 10, 2009 at 2:00 p.m. in the Bradley Meeting Room, South Wing, Talbot County Courthouse, 11 North Washington St., Easton, Maryland 21601. Written comments will be accepted by the Office of the Talbot County Manager until Friday, March 6, 2009 at 12:00 noon. Bill No. 1162, as amended, including any additional amendments introduced and approved on Tuesday, March 10, 2009, will be eligible for vote on Tuesday, March 10, 2009.

VIII. County Manager’s Report:

- A. Bid 09-01, SERVICING TRASH REMOVAL CONTAINERS (CANS) – TALBOT COUNTY PARKS & PUBLIC LANDINGS – Requested Council approval to award Bid 09-01 to the low bidder, Water & Wastewater Operation, LLC, in the sum of \$12,028.00 for year 1; \$12,998.00 for year 2; and \$13,968.00 for year 3; the majority of cost of said trash removal services are

covered by a State grant, the County will pay the remaining balance. Upon motion by Mr. Duncan, seconded by Mr. Pack, the Council approved the award by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett – Aye
Mr. Harrison – Aye

- B. Cordova County Park Bleachers - Requested Council approval to have the Talbot County Department of Parks & Recreation piggyback on a Baltimore County contract to purchase bleachers for the Cordova Country Park in the sum of \$14,122.10. Program Open Space (POS) funding in the sum of \$10,584 will provide 75% of the cost; the remainder will be paid from County Capital Project funding for FY2008/2009. Upon motion by Mr. Duncan, seconded by Mr. Pack, the Council approved the purchase by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack - Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett - Aye
Mr. Harrison - Aye

- C. Dutchmans Lane Final Engineering Design - Requested Council approval to award the contract for the final engineering design of the road widening and improvement project for a .65 mile portion of Dutchmans Lane, including the Windmill Branch stream crossing, to Wallace, Montgomery and Associates; said contract is in the amount of \$234,000. Upon motion by Mr. Pack, seconded by Mr. Harrison, the Council approved the award by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack - Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett – Aye
Mr. Harrison – Aye

- D. Talbot County Parks and Recreation Advisory Board – Requested the appointment of Judy Shuler to the Talbot County Parks and Recreation Advisory Board as a representative of the Town of Oxford; said term will expire July 1, 2009 and will complete the unexpired term of Patricia Ingram. Upon motion by Mr. Harrison, seconded by Mr. Pack, the Council approved the appointment by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
Mr. Pack – Aye
Mr. Duncan – Aye
Mr. Bartlett – Aye
Mr. Harrison – Aye

- E. Cancellation of Meeting with Dorchester County Council – Mr. Hollis stated that the previously-scheduled 6:30 p.m. meeting with the Dorchester Council Council had been cancelled at the request of the Dorchester County Council. The meeting will be rescheduled at a later date.

Mr. Foster announced that Governor O’Malley would be hosting a Town Hall Meeting at Easton High School at 6:30 p.m. on February 26, 2009. He stated that the Town Hall Meeting is one of a series being conducted at various locations in the state by the Governor and members of his Cabinet; this is the first time one has been held on the Eastern Shore. He encouraged everyone to attend and to express their concerns to the Governor and his staff.

IX. Council Comments:

Mr. Harrison- No comments.

Mr. Bartlett - No comments.

Mr. Pack - No comments.

Mr. Duncan- No comments.

Mr. Foster - No comments.

X. Upon motion by Mr. Duncan, seconded by Mr. Pack, the Council voted to adjourn to Executive Session for discussion of personnel and legal matters, and to reconvene in Executive Session on Tuesday, March 10, 2009 at 12:30 p.m. for discussion of legal, personnel, and real estate matters by voting 5 – 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye

Mr. Pack – Aye

Mr. Duncan – Aye

Mr. Bartlett - Aye

Mr. Harrison - Aye

The meeting adjourned at 6:43 p.m.

The transcript of the February 24, 2009 County Council meeting is available for review in the Office of the County Manager during regular office hours.

XI. Prior to the meeting on February 24, 2009 an Executive Session of the Talbot County Council convened at 12:40 p.m. in the County Council Conference Room and the Bradley Meeting Room. Upon motion by Mr. Duncan, seconded by Mr. Pack, the Council met in Executive Session by voting 3 - 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Absent

Mr. Pack – Aye

Mr. Duncan – Aye

Mr. Bartlett - Aye

Mr. Harrison – Absent

Mr. Harrison arrived at 12:50 p.m.

Mr. Foster arrived at 12:55 p.m.

In accordance with State Article §10-50 (a)(1) (i)(7) the purpose of the Executive Session was for a personnel matter to discuss appointments to various County boards and committees; and for a legal matter to obtain legal advice from the County Attorney regarding the County’s ownership of land at the end of Bayshore Road. The Executive Session ended at 1:25 p.m.

XII. Following the Council meeting on February 24, 2009, an Executive Session of the Talbot County Council convened at 6:50 p.m. in the County Council Conference Room and the Bradley Meeting Room. Upon motion by Mr. Duncan, seconded by Mr. Pack, the Council met in Executive Session by voting 5 - 0 as follows:

Mr. Foster – Aye
 Mr. Pack – Aye
 Mr. Duncan – Aye
 Mr. Bartlett - Aye
 Mr. Harrison – Aye

In accordance with State Article §10-508(a)(1)(i)(7)(8) the purpose of the Executive Session was for a personnel matter to discuss the performance evaluation of a County employee; and for a legal matter to obtain legal advice from the County Attorney regarding potential litigation. The Executive Session ended at 7:15 p.m.

CASH STATEMENT 2/17/2009

BALANCE 2/10/2009		\$6,835,070.02
SHRF/SUMMONS REFUND		(207.50)
UHC CLAIMS 2/10/2009		(22,176.01)
ELECTION BOARD/PPE 11/18/2008, 12/16/2008, 1/13/2009		(14,130.55)
DEPOSITS		441,514.88
CHECKS		(1,165,224.12)
VOID CHECK NO. 241579		600.00
BALANCE 2/17/2009		<u>\$6,075,446.72</u>

AIRPORT ACCOUNTS

AIP 25			1,926.70
AIP29	BALANCE 2/10/2009	\$ 2,465.99	
	DEPOSITS	14,912.53	
	CHECKS	(15,470.75)	1,907.77
AIP30			1,427.40
AIP31	BALANCE 2/10/2009	\$12,766.80	
	DEPOSITS	11,485.00	
	CHECKS	(12,089.90)	12,161.90
AIP32	BALANCE 2/10/2009	\$ 967.29	
	DEPOSIT	13,106.00	14,073.29
AIP33-DSA	BALANCE 2/10/2009	2,253.08	
	DEPOSITS	28,721.00	
	CHECKS	(29,840.00)	1,134.08
NEW AIP-RUNWAY 4-22 EXTENSION ANALYSIS			-
AIRPORT ACCOUNTS TOTAL BALANCE			<u>\$32,631.14</u>

INVESTMENTS – CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT

<u>CERTIFICATE DATE</u>	<u>MATURITY DATE</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
02/26/2008	02/24/09	3.11%	6,000,000.00
03/25/2008	03/31/09	3.01%	3,000,000.00
07/29/2008	03/31/09	3.47%	3,000,000.00
04/29/2008	04/28/09	3.30%	4,000,000.00
07/29/2008	04/28/09	3.725%	3,000,000.00
05/27/2008	05/26/09	3.61%	6,000,000.00
06/24/2008	06/29/09	3.91%	6,000,000.00
07/29/2008	07/28/09	3.73%	6,000,000.00
08/04/2008	08/25/09	3.80%	6,000,000.00
12/03/2008	09/29/09	3.17%	5,000,000.00
10/28/2008	10/27/09	4.03%	6,000,000.00
11/26/2008	11/24/09	3.96%	6,000,000.00
PNC - MLGIP INVESTMENTS TOTAL		0.69%	3,000,000.00
TOTAL INVESTED			<u>\$63,000,000.00</u>
PETTY CASH BALANCE			<u>\$4,050.00</u>
GRAND TOTAL ALL FUNDS			<u>\$69,112,127.86</u>

CASH STATEMENT 2/24/2009

<u>BALANCE 2/17/2009</u>	\$6,075,446.72
MLGIP INTEREST ON ACCT 1/2009	2,295.42
UHC CLAIMS 2/17/2009	(66,012.23)
RETURNED CHECK	(230.00)
INTEGRA 12/2008	(9,223.75)
PAYROLL – FD/SS/MS WH 2/20/2009	(121,937.28)
SECUR DED	(11,715.04)
DEFERRED COMP DED	(10,147.38)
MD WH	(29,939.38)
PENSION DED	(22,116.46)
ACH TRANSFER	(11,520.00)
FLEX SPENDING ACCT	(2,169.12)
DEPOSITS	1,159,109.63
CHECKS	(612,450.61)
BALANCE 2/24/2009	<u>\$6,339,390.52</u>

AIRPORT ACCOUNTS

AIP 25	1,926.70
AIP29	1,907.77
AIP30	1,427.40
AIP31	12,161.90

AIP32	BALANCE 2/17/2009	\$14,073.29	
	CHECKS	(10,807.84)	3,265.45
AIP33-DSA			1,134.08

NEW AIP-RUNWAY 4-22 EXTENSION ANALYSIS -

AIRPORT ACCOUNTS TOTAL BALANCE \$21,823.30

INVESTMENTS – CERTIFICATES OF DEPOSIT

<u>CERTIFICATE DATE</u>	<u>MATURITY DATE</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
02/26/2008	02/24/09	3.11%	6,000,000.00
03/25/2008	03/31/09	3.01%	3,000,000.00
07/29/2008	03/31/09	3.47%	3,000,000.00
04/29/2008	04/28/09	3.30%	4,000,000.00
07/29/2008	04/28/09	3.725%	3,000,000.00
05/27/2008	05/26/09	3.61%	6,000,000.00
06/24/2008	06/29/09	3.91%	6,000,000.00
07/29/2008	07/28/09	3.73%	6,000,000.00
08/04/2008	08/25/09	3.80%	6,000,000.00
12/03/2008	09/29/09	3.17%	5,000,000.00
10/28/2008	10/27/09	4.03%	6,000,000.00
11/26/2008	11/24/09	3.96%	6,000,000.00

PNC - MLGIP INVESTMENTS TOTAL 0.68% 3,000,000.00

TOTAL INVESTED \$63,000,000.00

PETTY CASH BALANCE \$4,050.00

GRAND TOTAL ALL FUNDS \$69,365,263.82